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Baker Trusses have the ability to be more efficient in material usage than conventional truss types and are thus of interest to be considered by engineers. It is known that under certain parameters and load conditions the web-nodes of a Baker Truss can become unstable in the out-of-plane direction. Up to now the way in which for this failure mechanism is checked in practice, is by calculating the member forces and the geometric stiffness of each web-node. In this paper, two equations are given; one for a top-loaded and one for a bottom-loaded Baker Truss, allowing for direct calculation of the web-nodal geometric stiffness without the need to calculate the member forces. These equations show that most web-nodes for the top-loaded condition are unstable and that most web-nodes for the bottom-loaded condition are stable.
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## 1 Introduction

The Baker Truss (Figure 1), also known as the discrete optimal truss, is a type of truss first conceived by William Baker. Linear elastic analysis shows that for many depth-to-span ratios and load-patterns, the Baker Truss is much more efficient (i.e. uses less material to achieve equal stiffness or strength) than conventional truss types [Baker, 2013]. It is therefore of interest to use this truss to save on material and cost, but also to create new meaningful architecture [SOM, 2020 (a); SOM 2020 (b)].

A major drawback of the Baker Truss is that under certain conditions (e.g. span-to-depth ratio or load pattern) the web-nodes can become unstable. In this instability web-nodes displace in the out-of-plane direction under the influence of in-plane loading. The stability of such a node is governed by the sign of the geometric stiffness (Annex A). This paper presents the generic expression for the geometric stiffness of the web-nodes of a uniformly top-loaded Baker Truss and a similar expression for a uniformly bottom-loaded Baker Truss.

## 2 Method

Figure 1 shows the truss which is to be analysed including the parameters used.
Dimensions $L$ and $h$ denote the total span and height of the truss respectively. Variable $b$ is the total number of bays (panels) per halve span. The web-nodes are located at half height and in horizontal direction at three quarters width of the bays. For the example truss in Figure $1, b$ is equal to 4 . $n$ denotes the bay number, starting at 1 on either support and increasing by 1 for every bay towards the middle of the truss. Finally, with $F$ denoting the downwards directed point-loads at all upper nodes of the truss, as shown in the figure, the problem is uniquely defined.


Figure 1: Parameters of the Baker Truss

The top and bottom chord nodes are fixed in out-of-plane direction e.g. by the chords being continuous or by floor slabs at the height of the chords. Therefore, only the web nodes can buckle in the out-of-plane direction. The geometrical stiffness is given by equation (1). For a derivation of this equation see Annex A.

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{n}=\sum_{i=1}^{j} \frac{N_{i}}{L_{i}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

in which
$k_{n} \quad$ is the geometric stiffness of node $n$;
$j \quad$ is the number of nodes connected to node $n$;
$L_{i} \quad$ is the length of the member connecting node $n$ to node $i$;
$N_{i} \quad$ is the normal force of the member connecting node $n$ to node $i$;

The interpretation of the geometric stiffness is that a node that is moved perpendicular to the plane of the truss will push back with a force. The magnitude of this force is the absolute value
of the displacement times the geometrical stiffness $k_{n}$. Consequently, a node is stable if its geometrical stiffness is positive.

A Baker truss is statically determinate and therefore all member forces can be determined using only equilibrium equations. The derivation is based upon analytically derived member forces being substituted in equation (1) to obtain the desired equation.

## 3 Derivation

This section presents the full derivation of the expression for the geometric stiffness of any web-node in a top-loaded Baker Truss. The derivation of the bottom-loaded truss only requires changes in a few equations of the top-loaded truss derivation and is not included. The derivation can be divided into five consecutive steps:

1. Deriving the support reactions, followed by the forces in web members of bay 1 (leftmost bay).
2. Deriving the expression relating the forces in web members of bay $n$ to bay $n-1$.
3. Decoupling the previous expression such that each relevant member of bay $n$ individually relates to the same member of bay $n-1$.
4. Converting the expressions relating forces in bay $n$ to the forces in bay $n-1$ (i.e. a series) to an equation containing $n$ as a variable.
5. Determining all member forces and substituting these into the general expression for nodal geometric stiffness.

The first step involves calculating the support reaction $S_{V}$, as well as the member forces $\mathrm{A}_{1}$, $C_{1}$ and $D_{1}$ shown in the figure below.


$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{V}=-F\left(\frac{2 b-1}{2}\right)=-F\left(b-\frac{1}{2}\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum F_{V, 1}=0=-\frac{h C_{1}}{2 L_{1}}+S_{V}=-\frac{h C_{1}}{2 L_{1}}-F\left(b-\frac{1}{2}\right)  \tag{3}\\
& \sum F_{V, 2}=0=-\frac{h A_{1}}{2 L_{2}}-\frac{h C_{1}}{2 L_{1}}+\frac{h D_{1}}{2 L_{2}}=-\frac{A_{1}}{L_{2}}+\frac{C_{1}}{L_{1}}+\frac{D_{1}}{L_{2}}  \tag{4}\\
& \sum F_{H, 2}=0=\frac{3 L A_{1}}{8 b L_{2}}-\frac{L C_{1}}{8 b L_{1}}+\frac{3 L D_{1}}{8 b L_{2}}=\frac{3 A_{1}}{L_{2}}-\frac{C_{1}}{L_{1}}+\frac{3 D_{1}}{L_{2}} \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

In which $L, L_{1}, L_{2}$ and $b$ denote the total span length, the length of the shorter web members, the length of the longer web members and the total number of bays per half span respectively. Four equations and four unknowns means a solution for the unknowns can be found:

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{1}=\frac{F}{h}\left(\frac{2 L_{2}}{3}-\frac{4 b L_{2}}{3}\right)  \tag{6}\\
& D_{1}=\frac{F}{h}\left(\frac{2 b L_{2}}{3}-\frac{L_{2}}{3}\right) \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

The next step is to relate $A_{n}$ and $D_{n}$ to $A_{n-1}$ and $D_{n-1}$. The figure below shows the naming of relevant members and nodes.


$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum F_{V, 3}=0=\frac{h A_{n-1}}{2 L_{2}}+\frac{h B_{n}}{2 L_{1}}+F  \tag{8}\\
& \sum F_{V, 4}=0=-\frac{h D_{n-1}}{2 L_{2}}-\frac{h C_{n}}{2 L_{1}}  \tag{9}\\
& \sum F_{V, 5}=0=-\frac{h A_{n}}{2 L_{2}}-\frac{h B_{n}}{2 L_{1}}+\frac{h C_{n}}{2 L_{1}}+\frac{h D_{n}}{2 L_{2}}=-\frac{A_{n}}{L_{2}}-\frac{B_{n}}{L_{1}}+\frac{C_{n}}{L_{1}}+\frac{D_{n}}{L_{2}}  \tag{10}\\
& \sum F_{H, 5}=0=\frac{3 L A_{n}}{8 b L_{2}}-\frac{L B_{n}}{8 b L_{1}}-\frac{L C_{n}}{8 b L_{1}}+\frac{3 L D_{n}}{8 b L_{2}}=\frac{3 A_{n}}{L_{2}}-\frac{B_{n}}{L_{1}}-\frac{C_{n}}{L_{1}}+\frac{3 D_{n}}{L_{2}} \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

The above system of four equations and six unknowns can be reduced to a system of 2 equations and four unknowns in which $B_{n}$ and $C_{n}$ have been eliminated.

$$
\begin{align*}
& D_{n}=-\frac{2 A_{n-1}}{3}-\frac{4 F L_{2}}{3 h}+\frac{D_{n-1}}{3}  \tag{12}\\
& A_{n}=\frac{A_{n-1}}{3}+\frac{2 F L_{2}}{3 h}-\frac{2 D_{n-1}}{3} \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

For the third step the equations (12) and (13) are to be decoupled such that in the expression for $D_{n}, D_{n}$ is only related to $D_{n-1}$ and that in the expression for $A_{n}, A_{n}$ is only related to $A_{n-1}$. An expression relating $A_{n-1}$ to $D_{n-1}$ could accomplish this goal, and it is derived by creating a cut in bay $n$ as shown in the figure below.


$$
\begin{gather*}
\sum M_{6}=0=-x A_{n}-h E_{n}+\frac{n L}{4 b} F(n-1)+\frac{n L}{2 b} S_{V}=-x A_{n}-h E_{n}+F\left(\frac{L n^{2}}{4 b}-\frac{n L}{2}\right)  \tag{14}\\
\sum M_{7}=0=-x D_{n}-h E_{n}+\left(\frac{n L}{4 b}-\frac{3 L}{4 b}\right) F(n-1)+\left(\frac{n L}{2 b}-\frac{3 L}{4 b}\right) S_{V}= \\
-x D_{n}-h E_{n}+F\left(\frac{L n^{2}}{4 b}-\frac{n L}{2}-\frac{3 n L}{4 b}+\frac{3 L}{4}+\frac{3 L}{8 b}\right) \tag{15}
\end{gather*}
$$

In the above equations $x$ denotes the orthogonal distance from the force in member $A_{n}$ to node 6 , which is equal to the orthogonal distance from the force in member $D_{n}$ to node 7 . Equations (14) and (15) can be combined into one equation in which $E_{n}$ has been eliminated and thus the only unknowns left are $A_{n}$ and $D_{n}$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{n}=A_{n}+\frac{F}{x}\left(-\frac{3 n L}{4 b}+\frac{3 L}{4}+\frac{3 L}{8 b}\right) \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $n$ is substituted by $n-1$ the sought-after relation is found.

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{n-1}=A_{n-1}+\frac{F}{x}\left(-\frac{3 n L}{4 b}+\frac{3 L}{4}+\frac{9 L}{8 b}\right) \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining equations (17) and (12) as well as (17) and (13) yields the desired pair of decoupled series.

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{n}=c_{1} A_{n-1}+c_{2} n+c_{3} \\
& c_{1}=-\frac{1}{3} \quad c_{2}=\frac{F L}{2 b x} \quad c_{3}=\frac{2 F L_{2}}{3 h}-\frac{F L}{2 x}-\frac{3 F L}{4 b x}  \tag{18}\\
& D_{n}=c_{4} D_{n-1}+c_{5} n+c_{6} \\
& c_{4}=-\frac{1}{3} \quad c_{5}=-\frac{F L}{2 b x} \quad c_{6}=-\frac{4 F L_{2}}{3 h}+\frac{F L}{2 x}+\frac{3 F L}{4 b x} \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

The fourth step is to convert the derived series into an equation relating $A_{n}$ to just $A_{1}$ and $n$, as well as for $D_{n}$. This is done by firstly expanding equation (18) into a summation of series.

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{n}=c_{1} A_{n-1}+c_{2} n+c_{3}=c_{1}^{n-1} A_{1}+c_{2}\left(\left(c_{1}^{n-2}+c_{1}^{n-3}+\cdots+c_{1}^{1}+c_{1}^{0}\right)+\left(c_{1}^{n-3}+c_{1}^{n-4}+\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.\cdots+c_{1}^{1}+c_{1}^{0}\right)+\cdots+\left(c_{1}^{1}+c_{1}^{0}\right)+\left(c_{1}^{0}\right)\right)+c_{2}\left(c_{1}^{n-2}+c_{1}^{n-3}+\cdots+c_{1}^{1}+c_{1}^{0}\right)+ \\
& c_{3}\left(c_{1}^{n-2}+c_{1}^{n-3}+\cdots+c_{1}^{1}+c_{1}^{0}\right) \tag{20}
\end{align*}
$$

Making use of the known relation (21) [Stewart, 2011], equation (20) can be rewritten as equation (22). In an identical manner an equation for $D_{n}$ can be derived.

$$
\begin{align*}
& x^{n-1}+x^{n-2}+\cdots+x^{1}+x^{0}=\frac{x^{n}-1}{x-1} \quad x \neq 1 \quad \text { and } \quad n \geq 1  \tag{21}\\
& A_{n}=A_{1} c_{1}^{n-1}+\frac{c_{2}}{c_{1}-1}\left(\frac{c_{1}^{n}-1}{c_{1}-1}+c_{1}^{n-1}-n-1\right)+c_{3}\left(\frac{c_{1}^{n-1}-1}{c_{1}-1}\right)  \tag{22}\\
& D_{n}=D_{1} c_{4}^{n-1}+\frac{c_{5}}{c_{4}-1}\left(\frac{c_{4}^{n}-1}{c_{4}-1}+c_{4}^{n-1}-n-1\right)+c_{6}\left(\frac{c_{4}^{n-1}-1}{c_{4}-1}\right) \tag{23}
\end{align*}
$$

For the final step, the total geometric stiffness $k_{n}$ will be split up in two parts: the contribution by the normal force in members $A_{n}$ and $D_{n}, k_{a}$, and the contribution of members $B_{n}$ and $C_{n}, k_{b}$. A simple expression for $k_{a}$ can be found by substituting equations (22) and (23) into equation (24) followed by substituting equations (6) and (7) as well as all constants of equations (18) and (19).

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{a}=\frac{A_{n}}{L_{2}}+\frac{D_{n}}{L_{2}}=\frac{F}{h}\left(\frac{2 b-\frac{5}{2}}{(-3)^{n}}-\frac{1}{2}\right) \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (11) can be rewritten in terms of $k_{1}$ opening up a simple way of calculating $k_{2}$.

$$
\begin{align*}
& 0=\frac{3 A_{n}}{L_{2}}-\frac{B_{n}}{L_{1}}-\frac{C_{n}}{L_{1}}+\frac{3 D_{n}}{L_{2}} \\
& k_{b}=\frac{B_{n}}{L_{1}}+\frac{C_{n}}{L_{1}}=3 k_{a}=\frac{F}{h}\left(\frac{6 b-\frac{15}{2}}{(-3)^{n}}-\frac{3}{2}\right) \tag{25}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, the total geometric stiffness $k$ can be calculated:

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{n}=k_{a}+k_{b}=\frac{F}{h}\left(\frac{2 b-\frac{5}{2}}{(-3)^{n}}-\frac{1}{2}\right)+\frac{F}{h}\left(\frac{6 b-\frac{15}{2}}{(-3)^{n}}-\frac{3}{2}\right)=\frac{F}{h}\left(\frac{8 b-10}{(-3)^{n}}-2\right) \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Q.E.D.

## 4 Results

The geometric stiffness of the web-nodes of a uniformly top-loaded baker truss is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{n}=\frac{F}{h}\left(\frac{8 b-10}{(-3)^{n}}-2\right) \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

The geometric stiffness of the web-nodes of a uniformly bottom-loaded baker truss is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{n}=\frac{F}{h}\left(\frac{8 b+2}{(-3)^{n}}+2\right) \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $F$ and $h$ do not influence the sign of the geometric stiffness in equations (27) and (28), these can be taken out of the equation so that a unit-less measure for the nodal stability is left. Figure 2 shows a plot of this stability measure for each web-node of a top-loaded truss (left) and a bottom-loaded truss (right) for the case $b=8$.


Figure 2a: Stability of a top-loaded truss; most web nodes are unstable.

The limits that the geometric stiffness approaches as $n$ increases, for a constant value of $b$, is for the top- and bottom-loaded truss respectively equal to equation (29) and (30).

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{F}{h}\left(\frac{8 b-10}{(-3)^{n}}-2\right)=-2 \frac{F}{h}  \tag{29}\\
& \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{F}{h}\left(\frac{8 b+2}{(-3)^{n}}+2\right)=2 \frac{F}{h} \tag{30}
\end{align*}
$$

## 5 Conclusion

This study shows that the geometric stiffness of the web-nodes of a uniformly top- and bottom-loaded Baker Truss can be calculated using a simple expression, greatly reducing the number of steps involved and giving more insight when compared with the conventional method of first calculating all member forces. The results of the equations have been compared to calculations done by a custom FEM code and are accurate up to many numbers after the decimal point (Annex B). It is shown that, for a positive value of the forces $F$, most nodes of a top-loaded truss are unstable, while most nodes of a bottomloaded truss are stable. When the forces are directed upwards the opposite is true in which most nodes of a top-loaded truss are stable, and most nodes of a bottom-loaded truss are unstable. It can be concluded that for most Baker Trusses some of the web-nodes need to be supported or stiffened by some moment transferring nodes in the out-of-plane direction in order to guarantee stability. For top-loaded Baker Trusses this is even true for most of the web-nodes.
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## Annex A. Derivation of the geometric stiffness equation

This annex presents the derivation of the general expression for the geometric stiffness of a node in a truss. The working axis of the stiffness is perpendicular to the plane of the truss. The assumption is made that all nodes connecting to the node of which the geometric stiffness is to be determined are fixed in the out of plane direction. Consider a node $n$ connected by one member per node to $j$ nodes.


Node $n$ is displaced by $u$ in the $z$-direction (out-of-plane). Because all nodes connected to $n$ are fixed in the out-of-plane direction, each member $i$ with a length $L_{i}$ is rotated by an angle $\theta_{i}=\frac{u}{L_{i}}$. The force exerted on node $n$ by the normal force in member $i$, in the deformed state, is split up in a component in $z$-direction and a component in $y_{i}$-direction.


The component of the force in $y_{i}$-direction in the undeformed state is equal to the normal force in member $i, N_{i}$, since in this case the member is aligned with the $y_{i}$-axis. In the deformed state the component of the force in $y_{i}$-direction exerted on node $n$ must remain
equal to the undeformed state in order to preserve nodal equilibrium in the $x, y$-plane.
Assuming that $u$, and by extension $\theta_{i}$, is small, the component of the force in $z$-direction can be calculated.

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{i, z}=-\theta_{i} N_{i}=-u \frac{N_{i}}{L_{i}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The general definition of stiffness is used in which a positive stiffness corresponds to a force in the opposite direction of the displacement. The force is taken as the sum of forces in $z$-direction of all members connected to node $n$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{n}=-\frac{1}{u} \sum_{i=1}^{j} F_{i, z}=-\frac{1}{u} \sum_{i=1}^{j}-u \frac{N_{i}}{L_{i}}=\sum_{i=1}^{j} \frac{N_{i}}{L_{i}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Q.E.D.

## Annex B. Verification

Table 1: Difference between FEM-calculated $k_{n}$ and equation-calculated $k_{n}$ for several Baker Trusses

| Load <br> type | $F[k N]$ | $h[m]$ | $b$ | $n$ | $F E M k_{n}$ | Equation $k_{n}$ <br> $[\mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}]$ | Difference |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Top | 10 | 5 | 2 | 1 | -8.00000 | -8.00000 | $0.00000 \%$ |
| Top | 10 | 5 | 2 | 2 | -2.66667 | -2.66667 | $0.00000 \%$ |
| Top | 15 | 7 | 4 | 1 | -20.0000 | -20.0000 | $0.00000 \%$ |
| Top | 15 | 7 | 4 | 2 | 0.952381 | 0.952381 | $0.00000 \%$ |
| Top | 15 | 7 | 4 | 3 | -6.03175 | -6.03175 | $0.00000 \%$ |
| Top | 15 | 7 | 4 | 4 | -3.70370 | -3.70370 | $0.00000 \%$ |
| Bottom | 12 | 8 | 6 | 1 | -22.0000 | -22.0000 | $0.00000 \%$ |
| Bottom | 12 | 8 | 6 | 2 | 11.33333 | 11.33333 | $0.00000 \%$ |
| Bottom | 12 | 8 | 6 | 3 | 0.222222 | 0.222222 | $0.00000 \%$ |
| Bottom | 12 | 8 | 6 | 4 | 3.925925 | 3.925925 | $0.00000 \%$ |
| Bottom | 12 | 8 | 6 | 5 | 2.691358 | 2.691358 | $0.00000 \%$ |
| Bottom | 12 | 8 | 6 | 6 | 3.102881 | 3.102881 | $0.00000 \%$ |

